

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/064,144	06/14/2002	Parkson Wu	8101-US-PA	3240	
31561	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
7 FLOOR-1	, NO. 100 LT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	100		2683		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/064,144	WU, PARKSON				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with processive by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may à nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO II. by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to be	•	· · · · ·	(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority december 2. Certified copies of the priority december 2.	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· 			

Art Unit: 2683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<u>Claims 1-13 and 15</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zurek et al. US 6,636,750 and further in view of Marui et al. US 5,367,556 (hereafter Zurek and Marui).

As per **claim 1**, Zurek teaches a hands-free amplifier for a hand-held communications device having a connector thereon, the hands-free amplifier (title, abstract) comprising of:

A main body (figure 1, shows cell phone #102 connecting to main body of hand-free device, #100);

A substrate board inside the main body, wherein the substrate board has a signal connector with an opening end of the main body suitable for engaging with a connector on a hand-held communications device (figure 1 shows cell phone connector #120 and dashed line that connects to opening/connector in main body #144);

A voice amplifier inside the main body, wherein the voice amplifier and the substrate board are electrically connected to transmit signals (figure 2 shows electrical diagrams of cell phone #102 and hands-free device #100, all electrical components on the hands-free device are electrically connected in the main body and a voice amplifier is used for receive/transmit, C3, L43-67 teaches amplifier/amplification of voice signals);

A voice receiver inside the main body, wherein the voice receiver and the substrate board are electrically connected to transmit signals (figure 3 shows a microphone #224 to receive voice signals which is connected to the control board #220 and the cell phone #102 via dashed line connection via connectors, #144 and #120),

#### but is silent on

A power source electrically connected to the substrate board inside the main body to provide electrical power for driving the substrate board.

Marui teaches a radio phone with adaptor unit having hands-free circuit (title, abstract) that provides a connection to the cell phone to allow hands-free usage. The adaptor circuit is separated into two "units", one being a hands-free

Art Unit: 2683

circuit and one being a battery pack (see figure 1, #70 and #100). The examiner interprets the outboard battery pack as reading on the claim since it is not part of the phone and provides power to the hands-free device via connectors T31-32, T21-22 and T11-12. Hence one skilled would view the combined hands-free circuit and battery pack as one virtual device since the phone operates independently from them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek, such that a power source electrically connected to the substrate board inside the main body to provide electrical power for driving the substrate board, to provide means for powering the amplifier so it doesn't have to have its own cumbersome power source.

As per claim 2, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 wherein the voice amplifier includes a loudspeaker (figure 2, #222).

As per **claim 3**, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 wherein the voice receiver includes a microphone (figure 2, #224).

As per claim 4, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein the power source includes a replaceable battery pack.

Maurui teaches an attachable/detachable (eg. replaceable) battery pack (figure 1, #100).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source includes a replaceable battery pack, to provide means for swapping in/out a good battery for a bad one.

As per claim 5, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 wherein but is silent on wherein the power source includes a rechargeable battery pack.

The examiner takes Official Notice that rechargeable battery packs are known in the art and would be used by one skilled to ensure that the replaceable battery pack is always fully charged to provide hands-free cell phone operation when needed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source is a rechargeable battery pack, to provide means for recharging the batteries after they drain from use.

As per **claim 6**, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 wherein the handheld communication device is a mobile phone (abstract and figure 1, #102 show a mobile/cell phone).

Art Unit: 2683

As per claim 7, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein the substrate board further includes a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume.

Son teaches a voice command system/method (title) for a communications device that accepts voice commands (abstract). The voice commands that may be included in an example implementation can include voice commands for standard keypad entries, as well as voice commands to operate the communication device and its features. For example, commands for operation of the device may include commands to answer or ignore an incoming call; commands to dial an outgoing call, commands to adjust the volume at the handset or of the speaker; commands to access a directory, calendar, or other feature within the phone; and so on. Commands for standard keypad entry can include, for example, voice commands to "dial" or effectively depress certain keys or key sequences, resulting in the generation of the corresponding DTMF tones. Key sequence commands can also be used for local control of the communication device, and in this scenario do not need to result in generation of DTMF tones (C6, L6 to C7, L23 and figure 7). Son teaches many different commands being recognized and the examiner interprets that Son can provide virtually any command to be recognized for enablement which reads on "a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the substrate board further includes a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume, to provide means for controlling operational features of the device to the user's liking.

As per claim 8, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 1 but is silent on wherein the power source includes a battery pack having at least one battery.

Marui teaches a battery pack (figure 1, #100) that is interpreted to be comprised of either one battery or multiple batteries.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source includes a battery pack having at least one battery, to provide means for adequate battery power via one or more batteries.

As per **claim 9**, Zurek teaches a hands-free amplifier for a hand-held communications device having a connector thereon, the hands-free amplifier (title, abstract) comprising of:

A main body (figure 1, shows cell phone #102 connecting to main body of hand-free device, #100);

A substrate board inside the main body, wherein the substrate board has a signal connector with an opening end of the main body suitable for engaging

Art Unit: 2683

with a connector on a hand-held communications device (figure 1 shows cell phone connector #120 and dashed line that connects to opening/connector in main body #144);

A voice receiving device inside the main body, wherein the voice receiver and the substrate board are electrically connected to transmit signals (figure 3 shows a microphone #224 to receive voice signals which is connected to the control board #220 and the cell phone #102 via dashed line connection via connectors, #144 and #120),

A voice amplifying device inside the main body, wherein the voice amplifier and the substrate board are electrically connected to transmit signals (figure 2 shows electrical diagrams of cell phone #102 and hands-free device #100, all electrical components on the hands-free device are electrically connected in the main body and a voice amplifier is used for receive/transmit, C3, L43-67 teaches amplifier/amplification of voice signals);

#### but is silent on

A power source electrically connected to the substrate board inside the main body to provide electrical power for driving the substrate board.

Marui teaches a radio phone with adaptor unit having hands-free circuit (title, abstract) that provides a connection to the cell phone to allow hands-free usage. The adaptor circuit is separated into two "units", one being a hands-free circuit and one being a battery pack (see figure 1, #70 and #100). The examiner interprets the outboard battery pack as reading on the claim since it is not part of the phone and provides power to the hands-free device via connectors T31-32, T21-22 and T11-12. Hence one skilled would view the combined hands-free circuit and battery pack as one virtual device since the phone operates independently from them.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek, such that a power source electrically connected to the substrate board inside the main body to provide electrical power for driving the substrate board, to provide means for powering the amplifier so it doesn't have to have its own cumbersome power source.

As per **claim 10**, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 wherein the voice receiving/amplifying device includes a loudspeaker (figure 2, #222) having both voice-amplifying and voice-receiving capabilities (eg. microphone - figure 2, #224). The examiner notes that Howes, included but not cited, discloses a similar embodiment that can employ either a separate microphone and a separate speaker, or a combined microphone/speaker assembly, as is well known in the art (C4, L4-16).

As per claim 11, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 but is silent on wherein the power source includes a replaceable battery pack.

Maurui teaches an attachable/detachable (eg. replaceable) battery pack (figure 1, #100).

Art Unit: 2683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source includes a replaceable battery pack, to provide means for swapping in/out a good battery for a bad one.

As per claim 12, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 wherein but is silent on wherein the power source includes a rechargeable battery pack.

The examiner takes Official Notice that rechargeable battery packs are known in the art and would be used by one skilled to ensure that the replaceable battery pack is always fully charged to provide hands-free cell phone operation when needed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source is a rechargeable battery pack, to provide means for recharging the batteries after they drain from use.

As per claim 13, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 wherein the handheld communication device is a mobile phone (abstract and figure 1, #102 show a mobile/cell phone).

As per claim 15, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 but is silent on wherein the power source includes a battery pack having at least one battery.

Marui teaches a battery pack (figure 1, #100) that is interpreted to be comprised of either one battery or multiple batteries.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the power source includes a battery pack having at least one battery, to provide means for adequate battery power via one or more batteries.

<u>Claim 14</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zurek and Marui as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Son et al. US 6,212,408 (hereafter Son)

As per **claim 14**, Zurek in view of Marui teaches claim 9 **but is silent on** wherein the substrate board further includes a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume.

Son teaches a voice command system/method (title) for a communications device that accepts voice commands (abstract). The voice commands that may be included in an example implementation can include voice commands for standard keypad entries, as well as voice commands to operate the communication device and its features. For example, commands for operation of the device may include commands to answer or ignore an incoming call; commands to dial an outgoing call, commands to adjust the volume at the handset or of the speaker; commands to access a directory, calendar, or other

Art Unit: 2683

feature within the phone; and so on. Commands for standard keypad entry can include, for example, voice commands to "dial" or effectively depress certain keys or key sequences, resulting in the generation of the corresponding DTMF tones. Key sequence commands can also be used for local control of the communication device, and in this scenario do not need to result in generation of DTMF tones (C6, L6 to C7, L23 and figure 7). Son teaches many different commands being recognized and the examiner interprets that Son can provide virtually any command to be recognized for enablement which reads on "a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Zurek in view of Marui, such that the substrate board further includes a voice control circuit for controlling the reception of the voice receiver and the transmission of the voice amplifier and adjusting voice volume, to provide means for controlling operational features of the device to the user's liking.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Howes US 5,396,578
- 2. Stern et al. US 6,266,542
- 3. Hong US 5,802,167
- 4. Schaeffer et al. US 2002/013161

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 703-306-5426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen D'Agosta